Annexure-I

#### Guidelines regarding filling up of APAR with numerical grading

- (i) The columns in the APAR should be filled with due care and attention and after devoting adequate time.
- (ii) It is expected that any grading of 1 or 2 (against work output or attributes or overall grade) would be adequately justified in the pen-picture by way of specific failures and similarly, any grade of 9 or 10 would be justified with respect to specific accomplishments. Grades of 1-2 or 9-10 are expected to be rare occurrences and hence the need to justify them. In awarding a numerical grade the reporting and reviewing authorities should rate the officer against a larger population of his/her peers that may be currently working under them.
- (iii)APARs graded between 8 and 10 will be rated as 'outstanding' and will be given a score of 9 for the purpose of calculating average scores for empanelment/promotion.
- (iv) APARs graded between 6 and short of 8 will be rated as 'very good' and will be given a score of 7.
- (v) APARs graded between 4 and 6 short of 6 will be rated as 'good' and given a score of 5.
- (vi)APARs graded below 4 will be given a score of zero.

### Annexure II

# Assessment of work output (weightage to this Section would be 40%)

	Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i)Accomplishment of planned work/work allotted as per subjects allotted		\$	
ii) Quality of output			
iii) Analytical ability			,
(iv)Accomplishment of exceptional work / unforeseen tasks performed			
Overall Grading on 'Work Output'			

## Assessment of personal attributes (weightage to this Section would be 30%)

()

	Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority	Initial of Reviewing
			Authority
i) Attitude to work			
ii) Sense of responsibility			
iii) Maintenance of Discipline			
iv) Communication skills			ur.
v) Leadership qualities			
vi) Capacity to work in team spirit			
vii) Capacity to work in time limit			
viii) Inter-personal relations			
Overall Grading on personal attributes			

## Assessment of functional competency (weightage to this Section would be 30%)

	Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i) Knowledge of Rules / Regulations /Procedures in the area of function and ability to apply them correctly.			
ii) Strategic planning ability			
iii) Decision making ability			
iv) Coordination ability			
v) Ability to motivate and develop subordinates			198
Overall Grading on functional competency			